Jurnal Matematika UNAND Vol. **10** No. **4** Hal. 553 – 560 Edisi Oktober 2021 ISSN : 2303–291X e-ISSN : 2721–9410 ©Jurusan Matematika FMIPA UNAND

# THE FIRST U-EXTENSION MODULE AS CLASSES OF SHORT U-EXACT SEQUENCES

Yudi Mahatma

Program Studi S1 Matematika, Fakultas Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Jalan Rawamangun Muka, Jakarta, 13220, Indonesia. email : yudi\_mahatma@unj.ac.id

Diterima 4 Agustus 2021 Direvisi 15 September 2021 Dipublikasikan 21 Oktober 2021

Abstract. Inspired by the notions of the U-exact sequence introduced by Davvaz and Parnian-Garamaleky in 1999, and of the chain U-complex introduced by Davvaz and Shabani-Solt in 2002, Mahatma and Muchtadi-Alamsyah in 2017 developed the concept of the U-projective resolution and the U-extension module, which are the generalizations of the concept of the projective resolution and the concept of extension module, respectively. It is already known that every element of a first extension module can be identified as a short exact sequence. To the simple, there is a relation between the first extension module and the short exact sequence. It is proper to expect the relation to be provided in the U-extension module and the set consisting of equivalence classes of short U-exact sequence.

Keywords: Chain U-complex, U-projective resolution, U-extension module

## 1. Motivation

In [1] Davvaz and Shabani-Solt introduced the notion of the chain U-complex which generalizes the concept of the chain complex. The main idea was by replacing the kernel of every homomorphism in the sequence with the inverse image of a possibly nonzero submodule. For more details, a sequence of modules and module homomorphisms

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{p+2}} C_{p+1} \xrightarrow{d_{p+1}} C_p \xrightarrow{d_p} C_{p-1} \xrightarrow{d_{p-1}} \cdots$$

is called a *chain* U-complex if, for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $U_k \subseteq \text{Im}(d_{k+1}) \subseteq d_k^{-1}(U_{k-1})$ where  $U_k$  is submodule of  $C_k$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By this definition, the ordinary chain complex now can be regarded as a chain U-complex with  $U_k = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As an example of chain U-complex, consider the sequence

where the arrow " $m\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{k} n\mathbb{Z}$ " denotes the map  $x \mapsto kx$  for every  $x \in m\mathbb{Z}$ . The objects written in the bottom row are the submodule  $U_k$ s.

As the chain U-complex was defined, we can consider a modified concept of exactness of a sequence by replacing the subset relation  $\operatorname{Im}(d_{k+1}) \subseteq d_k^{-1}(U_{k-1})$  with equality for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In fact, Davvaz and Parnian-Garamaleky [2] has introduced in advance the notion of U-exact sequences before the chain U-complex was. Nevertheless, the definition does not yet contain the conditions necessary for a U-exact sequences to be seen as a special case of chain U-complex, for it does not require the submodule  $U_k$  to be contained in  $\operatorname{Im}(d_{k+1})$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . However, experience shows that there are more advantages when a U-exact sequences is also a chain U-complex.

Projective resolution is a kind of exact sequence that is used widely in representation theory. As the concept of exact sequences was generalized, Mahatma and Muchtadi-Alamsyah [3] proposed a method to construct the U-projective resolution as the generalization of the projective resolution. Furthermore, they continued in the same article with a method to induce the k-th U-extension module form a U-projective resolution for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , as the projective resolution does to the k-th extension module for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We assume throughout this paper that R is commutative algebra. It is known that for any R-modules M and N there exists one-one correspondence between the first extension R-module  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M, N)$  and the set e(M, N) consists of all equivalence classes of short exact sequence of the form  $0 \to N \to E \to M \to 0$  (see Chapter 7 of [4]). By this result, we can define the R-module structure for e(M, N). The goal of this paper is to investigate the analogous result in the U version where U is nonzero submodule of M.

## 2. The U-Extension

Given *R*-modules *M* and *N*, the short exact sequence  $0 \to N \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} M \to 0$  is also known as the extension of *N* by *M*. We start this paper with the notion generalizing the concept of the extension by replacing the property that Im(f) = ker(g) with  $\text{Im}(f) = g^{-1}(U)$  where *U* is nonzero submodule of *M*. This concept would require that the module *N* should be large enough so that it can be mapped onto *U*.

Let M and N be R-modules and U be a submodule of M. The sequence  $0 \to N \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} M \to 0$  such that f is one-one, g is onto, and  $f(N) = g^{-1}(U)$  is called the *U*-extension of N by M. We shall also call such sequence as a short *U*-exact sequence.

We restrict the discussion in this paper only for the module N, which is direct sum of U, and only for the short U-exact sequence  $0 \to N \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} M \to 0$  with property that if  $N = U \oplus V$  then  $f(V) = \ker(g)$  and gf(u) = u for every  $u \in U$ . Therefore, every short U-exact sequence throughout this paper will be assumed to be of that form. Notice that if we allow the submodule U to be 0, then the case U = 0 gives us exactly the ordinary extension of N by M.

Let  $\mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$  denotes the set of all U-extension of N by M. Let  $\mathbf{E} : 0 \to N \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} M \to 0$  be an element of  $\mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$ . A short U-exact sequence  $\mathbf{F} : 0 \to N \xrightarrow{f'} F \xrightarrow{g'} M \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$  is said to be equivalent to  $\mathbf{E}$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{E} \approx \mathbf{F}$ , if there exists a morphism  $\delta : E \to F$  such that  $g'\delta = g$  and  $f' = \delta f$ , that is if the diagram

commutes. It is easy to verify that  $\delta$  is an isomorphism and hence " $\approx$ " is an equivalence relation in  $\mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$ . For every  $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$ , the class of all short U-exact sequence equivalent to  $\mathbf{E}$  will be denoted by  $[\mathbf{E}]$ . Thus, the set  $\mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$  partitioned by " $\approx$ " will consist of all classes  $[\mathbf{E}]$  where  $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$ . We denote those set by e(m[U], N). Thus,

$$e(M[U], N) = \mathcal{E}(M[U], N) / \approx = \{ [\mathbf{E}] | \mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}(M[U], N) \}.$$

#### 3. The U-Projective Resolution and the U-Extension Module

Let M be R-module, and U be a nonzero submodule of M. Consider the sequence  $P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \to 0$  where  $P_0$  is projective. Let  $P_1$  be a projective module such that the sequence  $P_2 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \to 0$  is U-exact at  $P_0$ , that is  $\operatorname{Im}(d_1) = d_0^{-1}(U)$ . Set  $U_0 := d_0^{-1}(U)$  and let  $P_2$  be a projective module such that the sequence  $P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0$  is  $U_0$ -exact at  $P_1$ , or  $\operatorname{Im}(d_2) = d_1^{-1}(U_0)$ . Set  $U_1 := d_1^{-1}(\ker(d_0))$  and let  $P_3$  be a projective module such that the sequence  $P_3 \xrightarrow{d_3} P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1$  is  $U_1$ -exact at  $P_2$ , or  $\operatorname{Im}(d_3) = d_2^{-1}(U_1)$ . Continue the process by setting the submodule  $U_k := d_k^{-1}(\ker(d_{k-1}))$  and choose the projective module  $P_{k+2}$  such that the sequence  $P_{k+2} \xrightarrow{d_{k+2}} P_{k+1} \xrightarrow{d_{k+1}} P_k$  is  $U_k$ -exact at  $P_{k+1}$ , or  $\operatorname{Im}(d_{k+2}) = d_{k+1}^{-1}(U_k)$ .

The infinite sequence  $\cdots \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \to 0$  obtained from the process above is called the *U*-projective resoultion of *M*, denoted by  $\mathbf{P} \colon P_{\bullet}(U_{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}} M(U)$ . From the construction above, it seems that the sequence obtained depends on the choice of the module  $P_k$ s. Nevertheless, in [3] Mahatma and Muchtadi-Alamsyah showed that the *U*-projective resolution is unique op to the so-called (U, U)homotopy, that is if  $\mathbf{P} \colon P_{\bullet}(U_{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}} M(U)$  and  $\mathbf{Q} \colon P'_{\bullet}(U'_{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{d'_{\bullet}} M(U)$  both are *U*-projective resolution of *M* then there exist chain (U, U')-map  $\mathbf{f} \colon \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{Q}$  and chain (U', U)-map  $\mathbf{g} \colon \mathbf{Q} \to \mathbf{P}$  such that  $\mathbf{g} \mathbf{f} \simeq 1_P$  and  $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{g} \simeq 1_Q$  (see also [1] for detail of the map between two *U*-complexes). Now notice that, in a *U*-projective resolution of *M*, since  $\operatorname{Im}(d_1) = d_0^{-1}(U) = U_0$  then we may choose  $P_2 := P_1$ and set  $d_2 := 1_{P_1}$ . Hence every *U*-projective resolution of *M* is of the form  $\cdots \xrightarrow{d_3} P_1 \xrightarrow{1_{P_1}} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \to 0$ .

For the example of the U-projective resolution, let us consider the case when the algebra R is hereditary. Here we consider two cases: when module M is projective and when it is not. According to the method given in the beginning of this section, if M is projective, then the U-projective resolution of M will be of the form

while if M is not projective, then the  $U\mbox{-}\mathrm{projective}$  resolution of M will be of the form

Recall that the objects written in the bottom row denote the submodule  $U_k s$ . Here, when the  $U_k$  is not written, we mean that  $U_k = 0$ . The detail of these constructions can be found in [5].

Let  $\mathbf{P}: P_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}} M(U)$  be the *U*-projective resolution of *M*. If  $P_n \neq 0$  and  $P_i = 0$  for all i > n then we say that the length of  $\mathbf{P}$  is *n*. Hence, if *R* is hereditary, we have that the *U*-projective resolution length is either 2 or 3. Moreover, in [5] Baur, Mahatma, and Muchtadi-Alamsyah showed that an algebra *R* is hereditary if and only if, for  $U \neq 0$ , every *U*-projective resolution of an *R*-module has length of either 2 or 3.

Given an *R*-module *M*, a nonzero submodule *U* of *M*, and *U*-projective resolution of *M*  $\mathbf{P}: P_{\bullet}(U_{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}} M(U)$ , let  $(\mathbf{P}_{M})$  be the sequence  $\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{3}} P_{2} \xrightarrow{d_{2}} P_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} P_{0} \rightarrow 0$  obtained by removing *M* from **P**. Given an *R*-module *N*, apply the functor  $\operatorname{Hom}(\_, N)$  to  $(\mathbf{P}_{M})$  to obtain the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(P_{0}, N) \xrightarrow{\overline{d}_{1}^{N}} \operatorname{Hom}(P_{1}, N) \xrightarrow{\overline{d}_{2}^{N}} \cdots$ , where  $\overline{d}_{k}^{N}$  denotes the map  $\operatorname{Hom}(d_{k}, N)$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the submodules  $A_{k}^{N} := \{\alpha d_{k-1}d_{k} | \alpha : \operatorname{Im}(d_{k-1}) \rightarrow N \text{ and } Z_{k}^{N} := (\overline{d}_{k+1}^{N})^{-1} (A_{k+1}^{M})$  of  $\operatorname{Hom}(P_{k}, N)$ . Note first that, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , a morphism  $z \in \operatorname{Hom}(P_{k}, N)$  is in  $Z_{k}^{N}$  if and only if there exists a morphism  $\alpha : \operatorname{Im}(d_{k}) \rightarrow N$  such that  $\overline{d}_{k+1}^{N}(z) = zd_{k+1} = \alpha d_{k}d_{k+1}$ . Next, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  define the submodule  $B_{k}^{N} := \{\mu d_{k} + \lambda d_{(k-1,k)} | \mu : P_{k-1} \rightarrow N, \lambda : U_{k-2} \rightarrow N\}$  where  $d_{(k-1,k)}$  is the morphism  $d_{k-1}d_{k}$  regarded as single morphism. Finally, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the k-th *U*-extension module of N by M by  $\operatorname{Ext}^{k}(M[U], N) := Z_{k}^{N}/B_{k}^{N}$ .

From the construction above, the module obtained depends on the choice of the U-projective resolution used as the basic material. Nevertheless, in [3] Mahatma and Muchtadi-Alamsyah showed that the k-th U-extension module is unique up to isomorphism for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# 4. The First U- Extension Module

Let M be R-module, and U be a nonzero submodule of M. Given any R-module X, we have seen that, for every  $k \in N$ , the construction of  $Ext^k(M[U], X)$  involves many steps, that make the structure of the module obtained seems so complicated. We can describe the module  $Ext^1(M[U], X)$  very simply.

To do so, recall first that, in the U-projective resolution of M,  $P_2 = P_1$  and  $d_2 = 1_{P_1}$ . Hence the module  $Z_1^X$  and  $B_1^N$  can be simplified to  $Z_1^X = \{\alpha d_1 | \alpha : \operatorname{Im}(d_1) \to X\}$  and  $B_1^X = \{\mu d_1 | \mu : P_0 \to X\}$ , respectively. Thus the module  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M[U, X]) = Z_1^X / B_1^X$  consists of all classes [z] of morphisms in  $\operatorname{Hom}(P_1, X)$  whose form  $\alpha d_1$  where  $\alpha : \operatorname{Im}(d_1) \to X$ , where two classes  $[z_1]$  and  $[z_2]$  in  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M[U], X)$  are considered to be the same if and only if  $z_1 - z_2$  whose form  $\mu d_1$  where  $\mu : P_0 \to X$ . As a consequence,  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M[U], X) = 0$  if and only if every morphism  $\alpha : \operatorname{Im}(d_1) \to X$  can be extended into  $\alpha' : P_0 \to X$ . This could happen when  $\operatorname{Im}(d_1) = d_0^{-1}(U)$  is a direct summand of  $P_0$ . Especially, we have that  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M[M], X) = 0$  for any R-module, since if U = M then  $\operatorname{Im}(d_1) = d_0^{-1}(M) = P_0$ .

## 5. Construction of the Correspondence

Suppose given an *R*-module *M*, nonzero submodule *U* of *M*, and an *R*-module  $N = U \oplus V$ . For every  $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$ , we will identify the class  $[\mathbf{E}] \in e(M[U], N)$  by an element  $[z_{\mathbf{E}}] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(M[U], V)$  and vice versa.

Consider the sequence  $P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \to 0$ , where  $P_i$ s are projective and  $\operatorname{Im}(d_1) = d_0^{-1}(U)$ . Let  $\mathbf{E}: 0 \to N \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} M \to 0$  be an element in  $\mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$ . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \to 0 \\ & \downarrow 1 \\ 0 \to N \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} M \to 0 \end{array}$$

Note that since g is surjective and  $P_0$  is projective, then there exists a morphism  $h: P_0 \to E$  satisfying  $gh = d_0$ . Let d(0,1) be the composition  $d_0d_1$  regarded as a single morphism from  $P_1$  to U. Consider that  $hd_1 - fd_{(0,1)}$  is a morphism from  $P_1$  to E satisfying  $g(hd_1 - fd_{(0,1)}) = d_0d_1 - gfd_{(0,1)} = 0$  since  $gf|_U = 1_U$ . Hence,  $\operatorname{Im}(hd_1 - fd_{(0,1)}) \subseteq \ker(g) = f(V)$ , and since  $P_1$  is projective, then there exists a morphism  $z: P_1 \to V$  satisfying  $fz = hd_1 - fd_{(0,1)}$ .

Define the morphism  $\alpha : d_1(P_1) \to V$  by  $\alpha d_1(p) := z(p)$  for every  $p \in P_1$ . Notice that if  $d_1(p) = 0$  then z(p) = 0 and hence  $\alpha$  is well-defined. Since  $z = \alpha d_1$  then  $z \in Z_1^V$ .

Now suppose that  $h': P_0 \to E$  is another morphism satisfying  $gh' = d_0$ . Let  $z' \in Z_1^V$  satisfy  $fz' = h'd_1 - fd_{(0,1)}$ . Since g(h - h') = 0 then  $\operatorname{Im}(h - h') \subseteq \ker(g) = f(V)$ . Since  $P_0$  is projective, then there exists a morphism  $\mu: P_0 \to V$  satisfying  $f\mu = h - h'$ . Thus we have  $f(z - z') = (h - h')d_1 = f\mu d_1$ , which implies  $z - z' = \mu d_1 \in B_1^N$ . Therefore [z] = [z'] in  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M[U], V)$ .

The paragraph above shows how to construct a map from  $\mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$  to  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M[U], V)$ . For this map let us denote the image of **E** by  $z_{\mathbf{E}}$ . Now sup-

pose that  $\mathbf{F} \in [\mathbf{E}]$ . Let  $\delta : E \to F$  be the isomorphism that makes the Diagram 2.1 commutes. Now, to obtain the morphism  $z_{\mathbf{F}} \in Z_1^V$  which represents the image of  $\mathbf{F}$ , we may set  $h_{\mathbf{F}} := \delta h$  and choose  $z_{\mathbf{F}}$  as the morphism satisfying  $f'z_{\mathbf{F}} = h_{\mathbf{F}}d_1 - f'd_{(0,1)}$  or  $\delta f z_{\mathbf{F}} = \delta h_{\mathbf{E}}d_1 - \delta f d_{(0,1)}$ . Since  $\delta$  is an isomorphism, then we get  $fz_{\mathbf{F}} = h_{\mathbf{E}}d_1 - f d_{(0,1)} = fz_{\mathbf{E}}$  which implies  $z_{\mathbf{F}} = z_{\mathbf{E}}$ .

We have just constructed a map  $\varphi : e(M[U], N) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(M[U], V)$  where  $\varphi([\mathbf{E}]) = [z_{\mathbf{E}}]$  for every  $[\mathbf{E}] \in e(m[U], N)$ . Our goal is to show that  $\varphi$  is a one-one correspondence.

## **Theorem 5.1.** The map $\varphi$ is onto.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $[z] \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(M[U], V)$ . Define the submodule  $I := \{(d_{(0,1)}(x) \oplus z(x)) \oplus d_1(-x) | x \in P_1\}$  of  $N \oplus P_0$  and the module  $E := (N \oplus P_0)/I$ . Remember that every  $n \in N$  can be written uniquely as  $n_U \oplus n_V$  where  $n_U \in U$ and  $n_v \in V$ . Create a sequence  $N \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} M$  where  $f(n) := (n \oplus 0) + I$  for every  $n \in N$  and  $g((a \oplus b) + I) := a_U + d_0(b)$  for every  $(a \oplus b) + I \in E$ . To show that the morphism g is well-defined, notice that if  $a \oplus b \in I$  then there exists an  $x \in P_1$  such that  $\alpha = d_{(0,1)}(x) \oplus z(x)$  and  $b = d_1(-x)$ . Since  $\operatorname{Im}(z) \subseteq V$  then we have  $a_U = d_{(0,1)}(x)$  and hence  $g((a \oplus b) + I) = d_{(0,1)}(x) + d_0d_1(-x) = 0$ . So g is well-defined. Furthermore, since  $d_0$  is onto then g is onto.

Now, if f(n) = 0 then  $n \oplus 0 \in I$ . Hence, there exists an  $x \in P_1$  such that  $n = d_{(0,1)}(x) \oplus z(x)$  and  $0 = d_1(-x)$ . Since  $z \in Z_1^V$  then  $z = \alpha d_1$  for a morphism  $\alpha : d_1(P_1) \to V$ . Therefore,  $n = 0 \oplus 0$  and hence f is one-one.

Next, notice that for every  $n \in N$ ,  $gf(n) = g((n \oplus 0) + I) = n_U \in U$ . Hence  $\operatorname{Im}(gf) \subseteq U$  and so  $\operatorname{Im}(f) \subseteq g^{-1}(U)$ . Now, if  $(a \oplus b) + I \in g^{-1}(I)$  then  $g((a \oplus b) + I) = a_U + d_0(b) \in U$ . Since  $a_u \in U$  then we have  $d_0(b) \in U$  and so  $b \in d_0^{-1}(U) = \operatorname{Im}(d_1)$ . Let  $b = d_1(p)$  where  $p \in P_1$ . We see that

$$(a \oplus b) + I = (a \oplus d_1(p)) + I$$
  
=  $(a + (d_{(0,1)}(p) \oplus z(p)) \oplus 0) + I$   
=  $f(a + (d_{(0,1)}(p) \oplus z(p)))$   
 $\in f(N).$ 

Then  $g^{-1}(U) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$ . Hence we have  $\text{Im}(f) = g^{-1}(U)$ .

Next, if  $v \in V$  then  $v_U = 0$  and hence  $gf(v) = g((v \oplus 0) + I) = 0 + d_0(0) = 0$ . Therefore,  $f(V) \subseteq \ker(g)$ . Now suppose that  $(a \oplus b) + I \in \ker(g)$ . Since  $g((a \oplus b) + I) = a_U + d_0(b) = 0$  then  $d_0(b) = -a_U \in U$ . Hence  $b \in d_0^{-1} = \operatorname{Im}(d_1)$ . Let  $b = d_1(x)$  where  $x \in P_1$ . Then

$$(a \oplus b) + I = \left( \left( -d_{(0,1)}(x) \oplus a_V \right) \oplus d_1(x) \right) + I$$
$$= \left( \left( 0 \oplus \left( a_V + z(x) \right) \right) \oplus 0 \right) + I$$
$$= f \left( 0 \oplus \left( a_V + z(x) \right) \right)$$
$$\in f(V).$$

U-extension Module 559

Hence  $\ker(g) \subseteq f(V)$  and we have  $f(V) = \ker(g)$ .

Finally, if  $u \in U$  then  $u_V = u$  and hence  $gf(u) = g((u \oplus 0) + I) = u + d_0(0) = u$ . We have just shown that the sequence  $\mathbf{E}: 0 \to N \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} M \to 0$  satisfies all criterions in  $\mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$ . We will show that  $\varphi([\mathbf{E}]) = [z]$ .

Define the morphism  $h: P_0 \to E$  by  $h(q) := (0 \oplus q) + I$  for every  $q \in P_0$ . We see that for every  $q \in P_0$ ,  $gh(q) = g((0 \oplus q) + I) = d_0(q)$ . Hence  $gh = d_0$ . Next, notice that for every  $p \in P_1$ ,

$$hd_1(p) = (0 \oplus d_1(p)) + I$$
  
=  $((d_{(0,1)}(p) \oplus z(p)) \oplus 0) + I$   
=  $f(d_{(0,1)}(p) \oplus z(p))$   
=  $fd_{(0,1)}(p) + fz(p).$ 

The equation above gives us  $fz = hd_1 - fd_{(0,1)}$ . Hence [z] is the map of the class  $[\mathbf{E}] \in e(M[U], N)$  by  $\varphi$ . thus, [z] has a pre-image in e(M[U], N) by  $\varphi$ . Since [z] is arbitrary then  $\varphi$  is onto.

To show that  $\varphi$  is one-one we will show that every element in  $\text{Ext}^1(M[U], V)$  has unique pre-image in e(m[U], N) by  $\varphi$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** For every  $[z] \in Ext^1(M[U], V)$ , the pre-image of [z] by  $\varphi$  is unique

**Proof.** Given  $z \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(M[U], V)$ , suppose that  $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$  is the U-exact sequence constructed using the method given in the proof of Theorem 5.1. Thus,  $[z] = [z_{\mathbf{E}}]$ . Let  $\mathbf{F} \colon 0 \to N \xrightarrow{f'} F \xrightarrow{g'} M \to 0$  be an element in  $\mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$  with  $[z_{\mathbf{F}}] = [z_{\mathbf{E}}]$ . Our goal is to show that  $[\mathbf{E}] = [\mathbf{F}]$ , that is there exists a morphism  $\delta : E \to F$  which makes the Diagram 2.1 commutes, that is  $g'\delta = g$  and  $f' = \delta f$ . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} P_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & P_0 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & M & \rightarrow \\ & \downarrow z' & \downarrow h' & \downarrow 1 \\ 0 \rightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{f'} & F & \xrightarrow{g'} & M \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

0

with  $g'h' = d_0$  and  $f'z' = h'd_1 - f'd_{(0,1)}$ . Since  $[z_{\mathbf{F}}] = [z_{\mathbf{E}}]$  then  $z' - z \in B_1^V$ and hence there exists a morphism  $\mu : P_0 \to V$  such that  $z' = z + \mu d_1$ . Let us define the morphism  $\delta : E \to F$  by  $\delta ((a \oplus b) + I) := f'(a) + (h' - f'\mu)(b)$  for every  $(a \oplus b) + I \in E$ . Notice that if  $(a \oplus b) + I = I$  then  $\alpha = d_{(0,1)}(x) \oplus z(x)$  and  $b = d_1(-x)$  for an  $x \in P_1$ . Consequently,

$$\delta((a \oplus b) + I) = f'(d_{(0,1)}(x) \oplus z(x)) + (h' - f'\mu)d_1(-x)$$
  
=  $f'd_{(0,1)}(x) + f'z(x) + f'(z'-z)(x)$   
=  $f'z(x) - f'z'(x) + f'(z'-z)(x)$   
= 0

Hence,  $\delta$  is well-defined.

Next, note that for every  $a \in N$ ,  $g'f'(a) = g'f'(a_U \oplus a_V) = g'f'(a_U \oplus 0) + g'f'(0 \oplus a_V) = a_U + 0 = a_U$ . Hence, for every  $(a \oplus b) + I \in E$  we have

$$g'\delta((a \oplus b) + I) = g'(f'(a) + (h' - f'\mu)(b))$$
  
= g'f'(a) + g'h'(b) - g'f'\mu(b)  
= a\_U + d\_0(b)  
= g((a \oplus b) + I)

where the third row holds since  $\operatorname{Im}(\mu) \subseteq V$  and  $f'(V) \subseteq \ker(g')$ . Hence  $g'\delta = g$ . Next, for every  $n \in N$ , we have  $\delta f(n) = \delta((n \oplus 0) + I) = f'(n) + (h' - f'\mu)(0) = f'(n)$ . Hence,  $\delta f = f'$ . We have shown that  $[\mathbf{E}] = [\mathbf{F}]$ .

## 6. Result and Discussion

We have shown that given any *R*-module *M* and nonzero submodule *U* of *M*, if  $N = U \oplus V$  then there exists one-one correspondence between the set e(M[U], N) of all equivalence classes in  $\mathcal{E}(M[U], N)$  with the module  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M[U], V)$ . We have known in Section 4 that  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M[M], V) = 0$ . Clearly, the only *M*-extension of  $M \oplus V$  by *M* is given by the sequence of the form  $0 \to M \oplus V \xrightarrow{1+\varphi} M \oplus W \xrightarrow{1\oplus 0} M \to 0$  where  $\varphi : V \to W$  is an isomorphism.

As we know that there exists one-one correspondence between the module  $\operatorname{Ext}^k(M, N)$  with the set of equivalence classes of exact sequences off the form  $0 \to N \to E_k \to \cdots \to E_2 \to E_1 \to M \to 0$ , it would be interesting to investigate whether the result in this paper could be extended for another value of k. But we must leave a note here that the construction of U-extension module give results that  $\operatorname{Ext}^2(M[U], X) = 0$  for any module X. Nevertheless, we may expect that there will be relation between the exact sequence  $0 \to N \to E_2 \to E_1 \to M \to 0$  and some nonzero modules  $\operatorname{Ext}^k(M[U], N)$  with k > 2.

#### 7. Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Intan Muchtadi-Alamsyah from Bandung Institute of Technology for the discussion and suggestions in writing this article.

# Daftar Pustaka

- Davvaz, B., Shabani-Solt, H., 2002, A generalization of homological algebra, J. Korean Math. Soc. No. 6, 39: 891 – 898
- [2] Davvaz, B., Parnian-Garamaleky, A., 1999, A note on exact sequences, Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. (Second Series), 22: 53 – 56
- [3] Mahatma, Y., Muchtadi-Alamsyah, I., 2017, Construction of U-extension module, AIP Conference Proceedings, 1867: 020025-1 – 020025-9
- [4] Rotman, J. J., 2009, Introduction to Homological Algebra, Edisi ke-2, Springer, New York.
- [5] Baur, K., Mahatma, Y., Muchtadi-Alamsyah, I., 2019, The *U*-projective resolution of modules over path algebras of type  $A_n$  and  $\overline{A_n}$ , Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society, 34:701-718